

Theories Of Everything:

[Elementary particles](#) always appear to have a mathematically analyzed “dual” character: They are, for example, either “material”-particles called [fermions](#) or “force”-particles called [bosons](#).

Any *mathematical theory of physics* must comply to the [Comprehensive Action Principle](#). In other words, any theoretical analysis should always also include the spin2 gravitational field with its induced curvature of space-time resulting from mass and mass-speed distribution around any analyzed mathematical point. The mass and mass-speed distribution around an analyzed point actually are the [General Relativistic “Aether”](#) which is needed in the theory of [GR](#).

The gravitational field is a spin2 field. As a result of this the invisible state-function of the spin2 graviton repeats itself twice by a complete rotation of 2π radians of the state-function around the axis of movement. As a result of this characteristic the resulting curvature of space-time should be described by 2 mathematical independent methods to include the “dual” spin2 characteristic on easy mathematical grounds.

This mathematical requirement can only be solved after the required dimensionality of space-time is known. On logical grounds the most logical choice appears to be a 4D-spacetime, as also used in Albert Einstein his simple linear [Special Relativity](#).

In 2004 [Grigori Perelman](#) proved that mathematical knots can only be described in 4D-spacetime. This at-once leads to the conclusion that [elementary particles](#) must be described in the ONLY POSSIBLE 4D-spacetime, because always massive [fermions](#), the primary sources of all [bosons](#), must be described as extended harmonic oscillating waves in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion to describe them “mathematical” in compliance to the [CAP](#).

Spin2 “dual” curvature must be included in the mathematical description in two independent ways: The “macroscopic” curvature describes curvature in the direction of motion and must be combined with the complex, so orthogonal, time-coordinate ($c\tau$, iz). Here the direction of motion is chosen in the positive z -axis. The macroscopic effect was described for the first time by [Karl Schwarzschild](#). The second mathematical effect of curvature must be included in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion, i.e. must describe harmonic oscillation in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion. This “microscopic” effect of curvature *explains ALL Quantum Mechanical* characteristics. The [Differential Equations](#) can be solved most conveniently using polar-coordinates (ρ , φ). The power in ρ appears to high to yield exact solutions, but the [DE](#) can be rewritten in $x = \rho^2$ and because $\rho > 0$ also gives one exact unique solution of ρ .

The exact solution of ρ contains roots of incomplete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind and the exact solution of also needed φ contains incomplete elliptic integrals of the third kind with the same arguments that allow solutions for all possible [elementary particles](#).

The average extensiveness, described from the inertial-frame moving with origin at the average position of the [elementary particle](#) is easily found to be:

$$\Sigma = 2 \cdot \langle \rho \rangle = 1/2 \rho_{\max} = 3 \rho_{\min} = \underline{s} \cdot \underline{\varphi} \cdot \underline{h} \quad (1)$$

With \underline{s} the (half-)integer spin of the (Fermi-)Bose-particle in the direction of motion, i.e. the conserved [helicity](#), $\underline{\varphi} = 1/2(\sqrt{5} + 1)$ the Golden Ratio and \underline{h} the [Planck-length](#) which also includes the Dirac-constant.

The harmonic oscillating extensiveness in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion possesses circular symmetry. It is a well-known symmetry in [QM](#) and can be given as in-variance of the (harmonic-oscillating) wave-function when rotated over an angle $\Delta\varphi$ around the axis of analyzed motion:

$$\Delta\varphi = 2\pi/\underline{s} \quad (2)$$

This symmetry explains why the spin2 “invisible” graviton must be analyzed as a “*dual*” particle!

In [GR](#) the equations of motion possess invariant expressions called [tensors](#) and of-course also non-invariant matrices, like the very useful [Christoffel-symbols](#). [Tensors](#) of any non-negative integer degree n are possible. For example a constant is an $n = 0$ degree tensor resulting from contractions of all covariant-indices with contravariant indices of tensors of higher degree than 0. A 4-vector is a relativistic tensor of degree $n = 1$, the most general transformation-tensor is a tensor of degree $n = 2$ and the famous [Riemann-Christoffel curvature-tensor](#) is a tensor of degree 4.

The most general transformation-tensor in [GR](#) is just a $4 \times 4 = 16$ degrees of freedom tensor of degree $n = 2$:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} + S_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

The most general transformation-tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ can be given uniquely as the direct sum of an anti-symmetrical tensor $A_{\mu\nu}$ and a symmetrical tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$. To make a mathematical analysis comply to the spin2 [CAP elementary particles](#) have to be described as harmonic oscillators in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion with a constant angular-momentum in the direction of motion of the [elementary particle](#). This explains the conserved spin $s > 0$ completely. Consequently, compliance to the [CAP](#) results in mathematical representations of explicitly described spins and the most general transformation-tensors of (3) can now be described with these mathematical spin-representations.

The symmetrical transformation-tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ can mathematically also be represented with the following spin-representations:

$$S_{\mu\nu} \div \text{spin}\frac{1}{2} \otimes \text{spin}2 \quad (4)$$

The mostly “stable” spin $\frac{1}{2}$ [fermions](#), either elementary spin $\frac{1}{2}$ [leptons](#) or compound spin $\frac{1}{2}$ [baryons](#), described as [CAP](#) enforced harmonic oscillators must be solved with open-[Boundary Conditions](#). Open-[BC](#) explain why all different [fermions](#) must have non-zero rest-masses and also why only [fermions](#) allow more so-called particle-families. This is why I rather talk about Fermi-Families instead of “Particle-Families”, our universe possesses 3 different Fermi-Families with only different (non-zero) rest-masses. All these fermions together with massive bosons form the [Aether](#) as source of the spin2 symmetrical gravitational-field described by invisible spin2 elementary massless and chargeless [gravitons](#).

The anti-symmetrical transformation-tensor $A_{\mu\nu}$ can mathematically also be represented with the following spin-representations:

$$A_{\mu\nu} \div \text{spin}\frac{1}{2} \otimes \text{spin}1 \quad (5)$$

The sources are the mostly “stable” spin $\frac{1}{2}$ [fermions](#), either elementary spin $\frac{1}{2}$ [leptons](#) or compound spin $\frac{1}{2}$ [baryons](#), described as [CAP](#) enforced harmonic oscillators. Open-[BC](#) explain why all different [fermions](#) must have non-zero rest-masses and also why only [fermions](#) allow more so-called particle-families. Elementary particles must be described mathematically as harmonic-oscillating waves in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion. As a result of this simple mathematical, i.e. linear, fact all [fermions](#) must possess non-zero electrical charge in the surrounding area of the average position ([SR-worldline](#)) which is not accessible by the harmonic oscillating motion to comply to the [CAP](#). This explains exactly why also the 3 different uncharged [neutrinos](#) possess an *non-zero* [Bohr-magneton](#), even though it is very difficult to detect the [Bohr-magneton](#) experimentally! In any case, the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ sources here represent the non-zero charges of fermions, which are the primary source of the spin1 [ElectroMagnetic-field](#).

This easy symmetry analysis resulting from a [CAP](#) compliant description of the only [SR](#) analyzed [Standard Model](#) will result in complete mathematical descriptions of all possible Theories Of Everything!

The most general transformation-tensor (3) with 16 degrees-of-freedom can be represented non-reducible

completely by the following mathematical spin-representations:

$$T_{\mu\nu} \div \text{spin}1/2 \otimes \text{spin}1 \oplus \text{spin}1/2 \otimes \text{spin}2 \quad (6)$$

As a result of this mathematical “mapping” with spin-representations described as harmonic oscillating point-waves in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion with fermions described with open-[BC](#) and bosons described with closed-[BC](#) at once explains why only the following somehow-stable particle-spins are observed in [QM](#) experiments:

$$\text{Stable observable spins of particles are given by: } \underline{s} \in \{2, 1, 1/2\} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{And this results in the only allowed spin-values of elementary particles: } \underline{s} \in \{2, 1/2, 1, 1/2\} \quad (8)$$

This [CAP](#) compliant analysis at-once explains why [quarks](#), which are only observed experimentally in a so-called quark-sea, i.e. never as stable particles on their own, must be spin $1/2$ particles without so-called [QCD iso-spin](#)! This spin $1/2$ of [quarks](#) explains why they cannot be observed on their own, because their spin is not represented in (6).

In the [Standard Model](#) all force-fields are described by [gauge-symmetry](#). However, in the [SM](#) the symmetrical spin2 gravitational field is not included and as a result only anti-symmetrical electrical charge related force-fields are analyzed. This is exactly the reason why all force-fields examined in the [SM](#) can be analyzed with [gauge-fields](#). In general it is assumed that the gravitational-field can also be described using a suitable gauge-field. However, this is a wrong assumption because the gravitational-field is a symmetrical spin2 “dual” force-field which cannot be described with an anti-symmetrical [gauge-field](#)!

As a result of this mathematical fact the used [gauge-symmetry](#) in the [Standard Model](#) just is the complete non-reducible [gauge-symmetry](#) of any possible mathematical analysis of our reality.

The only possible complete non-reducible [gauge-fields](#) of any mathematical model of our reality just is the [gauge-symmetry](#) of the [Standard Model](#):

$$U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3) \quad (9)$$

The $U(1) \times SU(2)$ gauge-symmetry describes the massless spin1 photon of the [EM-field](#) mixed with the weak-nuclear, charged so also massive, spin1 force-field bosons $\{W^\pm, Z\}$ via the so-called [Weinberg-angle](#). The $SU(3)$ gauge-symmetry describes all spin $1/2$ quarks as charged, so also massive, fermions. In the [Standard Model](#) quarks are described by [Quantum Chromo Dynamics](#) as spin $1/2$ particles with additional imagined dual so-called [iso-spin](#). But this can be proven to be a mathematical incorrect assumption!

All conserved quantities are derived from symmetries. For example the [energy-momentum 4-vector](#) $p^\mu = (E/c, ip_x, ip_y, ip_z)$ is conserved as the contracted degree $n = 0$ tensor-scalar:

$$p^\mu p_\mu = E^2/c^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2 - p_z^2 = m^2 = m_0^2/(1 - v^2/c^2) = \text{constant} \quad (10)$$

With m_0 the rest-mass of the described [elementary particle](#). If the speed of the described [particle](#) is the massless light-speed c , we have $v = c$, so in this case the rest-mass m_0 **must** be zero and in this case $E = c|p| = \hbar\omega$, with the momentum $p_{x,y,z} = \hbar k_{x,y,z}$, with (k_x, k_y, k_z) the wave-vector of the harmonic-oscillating massless photon to comply to the [CAP](#). This [EM-wave](#) 4-vector $k^\mu = (\omega, k_x, k_y, k_z)$ must describe the [CAP](#) enforced harmonic-oscillating extensiveness of the massless spin1 anti-symmetrical so-called “[photon](#)”, which up-to-this-very-day is **NOT** really understood by almost all theoretical physicists!

This is why I'm sad that I will **NEVER** have the opportunity to talk with Albert Einstein about [QM](#) which is mathematical **completely** proven and also **completely** derivable from his mathematically discovered [CAP](#)!

But maybe [Edward Witten](#) and [Robbert Dijkgraaf](#) will grant me a visitation to Einstein's last home, i.e. the so-called [IAS](#) of [Princeton University](#), to **FINALLY** explain [QM](#) to the [Super-String](#) community!?!

Because, first of all [Super-Symmetry](#) assumptions are proven incorrect, with severe consequences for all [Super-String](#) theories! And second spinless [elementary particles](#) *múst* be “simple-human-fiction”, because they have never been detected as stable particles in any experiment and with a correct mathematical explanation of [QM](#) using a mathematical description in compliance with the [CAP](#) are also shown mathematically not to be able to possess energy proportional to a frequency. So, can also be shown to be simple “human-fiction” on easy linear “mathematical” grounds. And to explain these two points more explicitly, the massless spin1 photon representing the complete EM-field and the massless spin2 representing the symmetrical gravitational-field with required curvature, i.e. duplication of degrees of freedom in a mathematical analysis, are 100% stable, i.e. only react when interacting with other particles on their “extended” mathematical 2D-paths to be absorbed as “harmonic oscillating” energy!

All [elementary particles](#) must be described by mathematical harmonic oscillating waves in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction of motion. So, even when the overall charge can also be zero, all possible [elementary particles](#) MUST also possess electric charge and as a direct result of that cannot have a zero [Bohr-magneton](#)! This explains why chargeless [elementary particles](#) have to be discarded from any correct mathematical analysis of all possible Theories Of Everything!

Theoretical Physicists **REALLY NEED to RETURN to the FIRST PRINCIPLES** of SIMPLE “**ONLY LINEAR**” *únd* **ONLY POSSIBLE 4D-SpaceTime analysis** with **EXTREMELY SYMPLE**, because 100% envisionable simple linear, **ONLY** possible “mathematical” 4D-spacetime analyses!

The ONLY possible [elementary particles](#) will now be given, together with the total number of [elementary particles](#) in [any possible universe](#), i.e. with 100% certainty independent (not possible to detect, see, hear, smell, or detect otherwise) part of our [cosmos](#) as a result of the unique maximum-speed of massless particles in ALL possible different universes:

All possible elementary particles in our 3-Fermi families universe:

Fermions: 3 different families	Bosons: The elementary spin2 graviton and the $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$ gauge-bosons:
leptons: electron, muon and tauon + anti-particles	graviton, a spin2 elementary massless boson
leptons: massive but chargeless neutrino's	photon, a spin1 elementary massless boson
quarks 1st family: up-quark and down-quark	weak-nuclear forces: spin1 elementary massive gauge-bosons W^+, Z
quarks 2nd family: charm-quark and strange-quark	strong-nuclear forces: spin1 colored quark+anti-quark gluons
quarks 3rd family: top-quark and bottom-quark	mesons: all non-gluon bose-quark combinations

All fermions have so-called **anti**-particles with changed charge sign of charged particles and opposite helicity in case of chargeless particles. All leptons are spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles and all non-separable quarks are spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles.

The total amount of [elementary particles](#) is given by the amount of Fermi-Families **n** by: $\Sigma = 5 + 7 \cdot n$ (11)
Here particle anti-particle characteristics of ALL [elementary particles](#) result in a duplication of degrees.

Any possible universe is created by a singularity of a [Black-Hole](#) in another universe through a collision of EVERYTHING into the “black-hole” and after this singularity starts to spread-out again as a “[Big-Bang](#)” of the new universe! In this way this creation-process of a new universe is of-course also energy neutral.