

Re-writing Quantum Mechanics Compliant to the CAP explains exactly why QM must be solved in the infinite dimensional Complex Hilbert-Space :

Already 14 years ago, in 2003 [Grigori Perelman](#) helped Prof. Dr. [Richard S. Hamilton](#) at the [Stony Brook University](#) in New York in the Eastern USA proving the [Poincaré conjecture](#) with the following [3 papers](#). In these [3 papers](#) he *at-once* also proved that mathematical (Closed-) Knots can only be described / analyzed in **Easy Imaginable 4D-Spacetime!**

In [Albert Einstein](#) his [Comprehensive Action Principle](#) he proved that any math. Model of Physics must always include the always dependent Gravitational-Field, i.e. the **only** spin 2 **dual** symmetrical (orthogonal to all electrical charge related *anti-symmetrical* actions in (only possible) 4D-spacetime described by the complete non-reducible [Gauge-Symmetry](#) of the (still not-understood!) [Standard Model](#): $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$) only possible spin 2 Symmetrical Graviton which represents the **dual** $2 \times 10 = 20$ degrees-of-freedom of the (still not-understood!) [Gravitational field!](#)

Compliant to the [CAP Elementary Particles](#) must be analyzed / described **dual** as:

Ideal Harmonic Oscillating (math.) Point-Waves in the 2D-Plane Perpendicular to the Direction-of-Motion (SR worldline) with CAP dual Closed- or Open- Boundary Conditions.

Closed-BC describe all stable Elementary and Compound Force-Particles called Bosons with conserved integer spin-values (multiplied by \hbar Dirac's Constant \hbar). Elementary Bosons possess **CAP-dual** only two different integer-spin values: $\mathbf{s} \in \{1, 2\}$. Only if elementary bosons carry zero charge-density in their ideal harmonic oscillating paths they possess zero rest-mass. **CAP-compliant** only two orthogonal (elementary) bosons have zero rest-mass: The orthogonal Symmetrical spin 2 Graviton with $10 \times 2 = 20$ degrees-of-freedom and the Anti-Symmetrical spin 1 Photon representing the $6 \times 1 = 6$ degrees-of-freedom [EM-field](#). This explains why the Gravitational field is invisible.

Open-BC describe all stable Elementary and Compound Matter-Particles called Fermions with conserved half-integer spin-values. Elementary Fermions possess **CAP-dual** only two different half-integer spin values: $\mathbf{s} \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\frac{1}{2}\}$ (multiplied by \hbar). All [Leptons](#) possess conserved spin $\frac{1}{2}$ in the direction-of-motion and all Quarks (described by the $SU(3)$ Gauge-Symmetry) possess conserved spin $1\frac{1}{2}$ in the direction-of-motion.

As a direct consequence, conserved [Spin](#) in the direction-of-motion should actually be described math. as 'Ideal Harmonic Oscillating Point-Waves in the 2D-Plane Orthogonal to the Direction-of-Motion' as [Explicitly described conserved Spin](#). These **CAP-dual** compliant analyses at-once explain why all observed [QM-Particles](#) always possess Energy Proportional to a Frequency.

The average extensiveness described from the inertial-frame with origin moving at the average position of the oscillating wavelike-particle can be given in polar-coordinates by:

$$2\langle\rho\rangle = \rho_{\max} + \rho_{\min} = 1\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\max} = 3 \rho_{\min} = \mathbf{s} \cdot \text{Golden-Ratio} \cdot \text{Planck-length}, \quad (1)$$

with \mathbf{s} the **CAP-dual** half-integer conserved spin in the direction-of-motion $\mathbf{s} \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\frac{1}{2}\}$ or integer conserved spin in the direction-of-motion $\mathbf{s} \in \{1, 2\}$ multiplied by \hbar to obtain conserved angular-momentum [$\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{s}$].

The conserved spin in the direction-of-motion is the result of Circular-Symmetry of the wave-function when rotating it around its axis of motion by an angle $\delta\phi = 2\pi/s$ (2)

When rotating an elementary spin 2 Graviton one complete circle of 2π radians around its described direction-of-motion the wave-function repeats itself *dual* twice.

This explains the *dual* mathematical character of the spin 2 Graviton and why compliant to the [CAP](#) this *dual* characteristic must be included in the complete orthogonal sets of complete non-reducible symmetry analyses.

The complete irreducible anti-symmetrical 'spin 1' Gauge-Symmetry is: $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$ (3)
 The $U(1) \times SU(2)$ describe mixed by the [Weinberg angle](#) the $U(1)$ Photon and the weak-nuclear force elementary bosons $\{W^+, W^-, Z\}$. The $SU(3)$ Gauge-Symmetry describes the 3 Fermi-Families of *dual* [CAP](#)-compliant Quarks as spin 3/2 Fermions without in-correct assumed '[isospin](#)'.

Elementary spin $1/2$ Fermions miss half of the degrees-of-freedom, because their wave-functions must be rotated over $2 \times 2\pi = 4\pi$ radians to obtain the same extended wave-function again. This explains why neutrino's with non-zero ideal harmonic oscillating charge-densities in the 2D-plane orthogonal to the direction-of-motion with conserved non-zero [Bohr-magneton](#) only posses left-handed [Chirality](#) while all anti-neutrino's only posses right-handed Chirality.

When analyzing the spin $1/2$ quarks which are always surrounded by a so-called Quark-Sea because they can't exist on their own the wave-function of a quark repeats itself after $\delta\phi = 1/3 \pi$ radians (almost equal to spin 1 bosons). All compound sets of quarks posses stable conserved spin-values.

However, Quarks are not stable on their own and always appear surrounded by a Quark-Sea as compound Bosons with spin 1 and compound Fermions with spin $1/2$.

The most general non-reducible transformation-tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ of a 4-vector is described as the sum of two orthogonal contributions: $T^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu} + S^{\mu\nu}$ (4)

The first transformation-tensor is the Anti-Symmetrical transformation-tensor $A^{\mu\nu}$ with 6 degrees-of-freedom and the second transformation-tensor $S^{\mu\nu}$ is the Symmetrical transformation-tensor with 10 degrees-of-freedom.

[CAP](#)-compliant [Elementary Particles](#) are described with explicitly described conserved angular-momentum in the direction-of-motion. This allows expressing the 2 orthogonal transformation tensors of (4) to be represented with spin-representations:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu} + S^{\mu\nu} = \text{spin } 1/2 \times \text{spin } 1 + \text{spin } 1/2 \times \text{spin } 2 \quad (5)$$

This explains why the only observable conserved 'Angular-Momentum' called [Spin](#) values are:

$$\mathbf{s} \in \{1/2, 1, 2\} \text{ multiplied by } \hbar \quad (6)$$

This completely explains why anti-symmetrical $SU(3)$ Gauge-Symmetry Quarks must be analyzed as always compound sets of spin $1/2$ quarks with as only possible compound conserved spin-values in the direction-of-motion: $\mathbf{s} \in \{1/2, 1\}$ multiplied by \hbar , (7)

of stable triple [Baryon](#)'s and dual stable *still not-understood* so-called spin 1 anti-symmetrical [Meson](#)'s and [Gluon](#)'s.